

Fractons and high- T_c superconductivity

Wellington da Cruz* and Marcelo Pagotto Carneiro

Departamento de Física,

Universidade Estadual de Londrina, Caixa Postal 6001,

Cep 86051-970 Londrina, PR, Brazil

(February 1, 2008)

Abstract

We consider the concept of fractons in the context of high- T_c superconductivity. These objects, which carry rational or irrational quantum numbers, are classified into universal classes h of particles or quasiparticles which obey specific fractal distribution function. We show that the relaxation time associated to Hall conductivity for the superconducting cuprate systems came to out as $\tau_H \propto T^{-2}$. We also consider the pairing of fractons as a mechanism to produce bosonic systems and therefore superconducting states. For that an effective mass obtained from the propagator of a charge-flux system is considered. In this way, some experimental results of infrared studies of the cuprates for the effective mass, $m^* = m_e(1 + \lambda)$, compared with our effective mass expression, $m_{eff} = m(1 + s)$, show us that the dominant factor for interactions came from the spin. Thus spin fluctuations as a mechanism of high- T_c superconductivity and fractons as quasiparticles are related. An expression to the low temperature specific heat of a quantum liquid of fractons is also obtained.

PACS numbers: 05.30.-d; 71.10.Pm; 73.40Hm; 74.20.-z; 74.20Mn; 74.25.-q

Keywords: Fractons; Fractal distribution function; Fractional quantum Hall effect; High- T_c superconductivity

Typeset using REVTeX

*E-mail: wdacruz@exatas.uel.br

To date there is no consensus about the mechanism of high- T_c superconductivity [1]. Among the models in the literature, the spin fluctuation models interest us as a possible mechanism in reason of the ideas exposed in this Letter [2]. Experimental results indicate that the transport properties of the cuprates in the presence or absence of magnetic fields have two separate scattering mechanisms with distinct relaxation times and so such models try to interpret the data.

In this paper, the concept of fractons(h, ν) is introduced and in continuing we show that a parallel discussion is possible between fractional quantum Hall effect-FQHE and superconductivity at high temperatures. Thus, some connection between fractons and the spin fluctuation models came to out.

Fractons are objects which carry rational or irrational quantum numbers, as charge and spin. These objects are classified into *universal classes h of particles or quasiparticles*, where h is a *fractal parameter or Hausdorff dimension*, associated with the path (*fractal curve*) of a quantum-mechanical particle. As a consequence, we have a *quantum-geometrical* description of the statistical laws of Nature. The particles within of the class h obey specific *Fractal Statistics*¹(fractal distribution function), given by [4]

$$n_j = \frac{1}{\mathcal{Y}[\xi] - h}, \quad (1)$$

where the function $\mathcal{Y}[\xi]$ satisfies the equation

$$\xi = \{\mathcal{Y}[\xi] - 1\}^{h-1} \{\mathcal{Y}[\xi] - 2\}^{2-h} \quad (2)$$

and $\xi = \exp \{(\epsilon_j - \mu)/KT\}$ has the usual definition. The parameter h is defined into the interval $1 < h < 2$ and the particles in each class h are collected taking into account the *fractal spectrum*, which relates h and the spin-statistics relation $\nu = 2s$

$$\begin{aligned} h - 1 &= 1 - \nu, & 0 < \nu < 1; & & h - 1 &= \nu - 1, & 1 < \nu < 2; \\ h - 1 &= 3 - \nu, & 2 < \nu < 3; & & h - 1 &= \nu - 3, & 3 < \nu < 4; \\ &etc. \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

We verify that the classes h have a *duality symmetry*, defined by

$$\tilde{h} = 3 - h \quad (4)$$

and so we can see that fermions($h = 1$) and bosons($h = 2$) are dual objects. This suggests the concept of *fractal supersymmetry*, given that the supersymmetric pairs for energy states of spin $(s, s + \frac{1}{2})$ [5] have a realization through duality concept posed here.²

Such ideas can be applied in the context of the FQHE. This phenomenon is characterized by the filling factor parameter f , and for each value of f we have the quantization of Hall

¹In fact, we have here *fractal functions* [3].

² **Theorem:** *If the particle with spin s is within the class h , then its dual $s + \frac{1}{2}$ is within the class $\tilde{h}$, so there exists a fractal supersymmetry.*

resistance and a superconducting state along of the longitudinal direction of a planar system of electrons, which are manifested by semiconductor doped materials, i.e. heterojunctions, under intense perpendicular magnetic fields and lower temperatures [6].

The parameter f is defined by $f = N \frac{\phi_0}{\phi}$, where N is the electron number, ϕ_0 is the quantum unit of flux and ϕ is the flux of the external magnetic field throughout the sample. The spin-statistics relation is given by $\nu = 2s = 2 \frac{\phi'}{\phi_0}$, where ϕ' is the flux associated with the charge-flux system which defines the fracton(h, ν).

According to our approach there is a correspondence between f and ν , numerically $f = \nu$. This way, we verify that the filling factors observed experimentally appear into the classes h and from the definition of duality between the equivalence classes, we note that the FQHE occurs in pairs $(\nu, \tilde{\nu})$ [4].

Going back to fractal distribution function expression, we can see that for temperatures sufficiently low and $\epsilon_j < \mu$, the average occupation number is given by $n = \frac{1}{2-h}$, and so the fractal parameter h regulates the number of particles in each quantum state, i.e. for $h = 1$, $n = 1$; $h = 2$, $n = \infty$; $h = \frac{3}{2}$, $n = 2$; etc. At $T = 0$ and $\epsilon_j > \mu$, $n = 0$ if $\epsilon_j > \epsilon_F$ and $n = \frac{1}{2-h}$ if $\epsilon_j < \epsilon_F$, therefore we have a *step distribution*, taking into account the Fermi energy ϵ_F and $h \neq 2$.

This behavior of the *fractal distribution function* suggests us to consider a nearly (*the excitations are fractons*) Landau-Fermi liquid theory [7] in the context of high- T_c superconductivity to explain the Hall conductivity time rate and so, we gain some insight about the nature of the phenomenon in order. On the other hand, we propose *pairing of fractons* for the superconducting states. In [8], we considered a continuous family of Lagrangians for a free charge-flux system

$$L_s = \frac{\dot{x}^2}{2e} + \frac{s^2}{2e} + \frac{e}{2}(m^2 - 2m^2\alpha) \quad (5)$$

and an expression for the propagator in the momentum space was obtained

$$\tilde{\mathcal{F}}(p) = \frac{1}{(p_\mu - mS_\mu)^2 - m^2} , \quad (6)$$

where s is an arbitrary parameter taked out of the Casimir of spin algebra, $S^2 = -s^2$ and m is the mass of the charge-flux system. On one hand, we have that $S_\mu p^\mu + \alpha m = 0$, where α is the helicity. From the propagator we can see that the charge-flux system, now subjected to an external magnetic field, has an *effective mass* given by $m_{eff}^2 = m^2 s^2 + m^2 - 2m^2 \alpha'$, such that $S_\mu p'^\mu + \alpha' m = 0$, with $p^\mu \longrightarrow p'^\mu = p^\mu - \frac{e}{c} A^\mu$ and α' embodied corrections which came from the interaction process. If we choose $\alpha' = -s$ (the precession angle of spin and momentum of the charge-flux system is the Hall angle between current and voltage in a magnetic field), we obtain

$$m_{eff} = m(1 + s). \quad (7)$$

Now we consider, this last expression for the system obtained by a pairing process of fractons. If we compare with the experimental effective mass, obtained from infrared studies of the cuprates, with effective mass formula [9]

$$m^* = m_e(1 + \lambda) , \quad (8)$$

where λ is a parameter which taking into account many-body interactions, we note that for $\frac{m^*}{m_e} = 1, 2, 3, 4$ our expression for the effective mass of the pairing process indicates that such superconductor systems are, in fact, bosonic systems with spin values $s = 0, 1, 2, 3$. Such matching between distinct expressions for the effective mass signalizes that the interactions are strongly dependent of the spin, hence the connection between the spin fluctuation models for high- T_c superconductivity and our fracton model for quasiparticle. We observe here that s can be any number, only in the superconducting state, pairing of fractons defines bosonic systems, otherwise we have fermionic and fractonic systems as alternative channels for the pairing process of fractons. In our analysis, the mechanism of high- T_c superconductivity depends on spin in this route, given the parallel between one and the other effective mass formula.

The difference between the bosonic systems is related to degree of impurities of the samples. On the other hand, the step distribution for each class h (*remember that this one collects the particles or quasiparticles with distinct spin values which obey specific fractal distribution function*), indicates that in the transition zone, around Fermi surface, scattering of fractons (quasiparticles) has time rate $\tau_H \propto T^{-2}$, in accordance with experimental results [10]. This dependance of τ_H is verified at least in near optimal doping cuprates, where the Hall resistance is a strong function of temperature. We have that the collision probability of fractons is proportional to the square of the width of the transition zone, which is of the order of KT . Hence, the relaxation time is inversely proportional to the squared width. Also in [4], at low temperatures T , we have obtained for the total energy

$$\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{E}_0 \left\{ 1 + \frac{2h\pi^2}{6\mu_0^2} K^2 T^2 \right\} \quad (9)$$

$$= \mathcal{E}_0 \left\{ 1 + \frac{\gamma V \pi^2}{6\mathcal{E}_0} K^2 T^2 \right\} , \quad (10)$$

where K is the Boltzmann's constant, h is the Hausdorff dimension, μ_0 and $\mathcal{E}_0$ are the zero-temperature chemical potential and energy respectively. We observe again that our analysis follow a type of Fermi liquid theory for near optimal doping cuprates (in this sense see [11]), where the elementary excitations are objects termed fractons. This is so because the experimental results suggest us such possibility. Thus, the low temperature specific heat of a quantum liquid of fractons is given by

$$\frac{C[T, B]}{V} = \frac{\pi^2}{3} \gamma K^2 T , \quad (11)$$

with $\gamma = \frac{m_{eff}(\nu+1)}{4\pi^2 \hbar^2}$, $V(\text{area})$, $\nu = 2s = \frac{\phi'}{2\pi\phi'_0}$, $\phi'_0 = \frac{\hbar c}{2e}$ and $\phi' = 2\pi\nu\phi'_0$ is a part of the external magnetic flux which is attached to the electron charge and so we define a fracton with ν a rational or irrational number (for a recent discussion about fractional flux quantization in high- T_c materials see [12]). Therefore, the specific heat depends on the temperature T and the magnetic field B , according to our model. There are in the literature another expressions to the low temperature specific heat of such superconducting systems [13].

The longitudinal resistivity produces time rate τ_ρ which is proportional to T^{-1} , by kinetic theory. Another discussion about a parallel between FQHE and high- T_c superconductivity was given in [14].

To summarize, we have proposed that along of the longitudinal direction of the samples *pairing of fractons* produces bosonic systems and so superconducting states. Along of the transverse direction fractons define Hall states. Such simultaneous events, experimentally observed in the FQHE, can be considered in the context of high- T_c superconductivity for justify the two time rates for the scattering mechanisms. The qualitative and in some sense quantitative analysis considered here were based on the *fractal distribution function*(Fractal Statistics) and an *effective mass* obtained from the propagator of a charge-flux system which defines the physical system. Our effective mass was compared with another one of infrared studies of the cuprate superconductors and an expression for the low temperature specific heat of such systems also was derived.

Finally, the FQHE and the high- T_c superconductivity [14], in spite of being distinct phenomena, can be analysed from the viewpoint of the fractons and are seen to overlap at some points. At another level, we would like to observe that the spin-charge separation ideas (see Anderson in [1]) do not are discharged of our formulation (*pairing of fractons can go to fermions, bosons or fractons*) and so we may speculate about some *hybrid theory* ahead to explain all the experimental facts.

REFERENCES

- [1] T. Timusk and B. Statt, Rep. Prog. Phys. **62**, 61 (1999);
P. W. Anderson, *The theory of high temperature superconductivity* (Princeton University Press, NY, 1997); Phys. Rev. Lett. **67**, 2092 (1991); cond-mat/9801271;
G. Baskaran, cond-mat/9910161; in *Conference on Condensed Matter Physics, Department of Physics, University of Allahabad* (Allahabad, India, 4-7 Dec 1998), cond-mat/9906183;
P. Bourges, in *The Gap Symmetry and Fluctuations in High Temperature Superconductors*, Ed. by J. Bok, G. Deutscher, D. Pavuna and S. A. Wolf (Plenum Presss, 1998), pp. 349-371 (**vol. 371** in NATO-ASI series, Physics), cond-mat/9901333;
T. E. Mason, in *Special Volumes on High Temperature Rare Earth Superconductors*, Ed. K. A. Gschneidner Jr, L. Eyring and M. B. Maple, cond-mat/9812287;
J. B. Marston, in *Proceedings of the International Workshop on Superconductivity, Magnet-Resistive Materials and Strongly Correlated Systems*, (Hanoi, Vietnam), cond-mat/9904437;
M. Brian Maple, cond-mat/9802202;
M. Randeria, in *Varenna Lectures* (1997), cond-mat/9710223;
M. Randeria and J. M. Campuzano, in *Varenna Lectures* (1997), cond-mat/9709107;
V. J. Emery, S.A. Kivelson, in *Proceedings of Stripes 98*, cond-mat/9809083; in *Proceedings of HTS99*, (Miami, FL, Jan. 1999), cond-mat/9902179; in *Proceedings of the Cape Cod Conference on Spectroscopy of Novel Superconductors-97*, J. Phys. Solids **59**, 1705 (1998), cond-mat/9710059; in *Proceedings of Stripes 98* , cond-mat/9809083, cond-mat/9809082;
V. J. Emery, S.A. Kivelson and J. M. Tranquada, in *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **96**, 8814 (1999);
L. P. Pryadko *et al.*, cond-mat/9905146;
J. M. Tranquada, in *Proceedings of Spectroscopies in Novel Superconductors 97*, J. Phys. Chem. Solids, cond-mat/9802043; cond-mat/9803458; in *Proceedings of M2S-HTSC-V*, cond-mat/9702117; in *Proceedings of the International Conf. on Neutron Scattering* (Toronto, Aug. 1997), cond-mat/9709325;
J. M. Tranquada *et al.*, in *Proceedings of ISSP-7*, cond-mat/9903453;
N. Ichikawa *et al.*, cond-mat/9910037;
P. Coleman *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **76**, 1324 (1996);
A. Mourachkine, cond-mat/9910449; cond-mat/9811284; cond-mat/9812245; cond-mat/9909430; J. Low. Temp. Physics **117**, 401 (1999); Physica **C323**, 137 (1999);
J. Annett, N. Goldenfeld and A. J. Leggett, in *Physical Properties of High Temperature Superconductors*, **vol.5** Ed. by D. M. Ginsberg (World Scientific, Singapore, 1996), cond-mat/9601060; and references therein.
- [2] A. Carrington *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **69**, 2855 (1992);
R. Hlubina and T. M. Rice, Phys. Rev. **B51**, 9253 (1995); *ibid*, 13043 (1995);
M. Lercher and J. M. Wheatley, Phys. Rev. **B52**, R7038 (1995);
B. Stojkovic and D. Pines, Phys. Rev. Lett. **70**, 811 (1996);
D. Pines, in *Special issue of Physica C of the M2S-HTSC-V Conference*, (Feb. 28-Mar. 4, Beijing, China), cond-mat/9704102; in *Proceedings of the Euroconference on Correlations in Unconventional Quantum Liquids*, (Evora, Portugal, October 1996),

- cond-mat/9702187;
 B. Stojkovic and D. Pines, cond-mat/9711269; cond-mat/9609137; cond-mat/9706247;
 A. V. Chubukov, D. Pines and B. P. Stojkovic, cond-mat/9606208;
 H. A. Mook *et al.*, Nature **395**, 580 (1998);
 H. A. Mook, F. Dogan and B. C. Chakoumakos, cond-mat/9811100;
 P. Dai *et al.*, Science **284**, 1344 (1999);
 J. P. Carbotte *et al.*, cond-mat/9907338;
 J. X. Li *et al.*, cond-mat/9912488;
 M. A. Kastner *et al.*, Rev. Mod. Phys. **70**, 897 (1998);
 C. H. Lee *et al.*, cond-mat/9912453;
 C. Di Castro *et al.*, cond-mat/0001229;
 P. Bourges *et al.*, in *High Temperature Superconductivity*, Ed. By S. E. Barnes *et al.*, pp. 207-212 (CP483 American Institute of Physics, Amsterdam, 1999), cond-mat/9902067;
 B. Lake *et al.*, Nature **400**, 43 (1999); and references therein.
- [3] A. Rocco and B. J. West, Physica **A265** 535 (1999).
 [4] W. da Cruz, Int. J. Mod. Phys. **A15**, 3805 (2000);
 W. da Cruz and R. de Oliveira, Mod. Phys. Lett. **A15**, 1931 (2000);
 See also [8].
 [5] D. Spector, Phys. Lett. **B442**, 159 (1998).
 [6] R. B. Laughlin, Rev. Mod. Phys. **71**, 863 (1999);
 H. Stormer, Rev. Mod. Phys. **71**, 875 (1999);
 D. C. Tsui, Rev. Mod. Phys. **71**, 891 (1999);
 and references therein.
- [7] E. M. Lifshitz and L. P. Pitaevskii, *Statistical Physics, Part 2* (Pergamon Press, 1980);
 H. J. Schulz *et al.*, cond-mat/9807366.
- [8] W. da Cruz, Mod. Phys. Lett. **A14**, 1933 (1999).
 [9] A. V. Puchkov, D. N. Basov and T. Timusk, in *Proceedings of Conf. on non-Fermi liquids at ITP* (Santa Barbara, 1996), J. Phys. Cond. Matter **8**, 10049 (1996), cond-mat/9611083 and references therein.
- [10] A. W. Tyler *et al.*, Phys. Rev. **B57**, R728 (1998);
 G. Aeppli *et al.*, Science **278**, 1432 (1997);
 J. Harris *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **75**, 1391 (1995);
 T. R. Chien *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **67**, 2088 (1991);
 J. P. Rice *et al.*, Phys. Rev. **B44**, 10158 (1991);
 B. Batlogg *et al.*, in *Electronic Properties of High- T_c Superconductors* (Springer, Berlin 1993); and references therein.
- [11] G. Kastrinakis, Physica **C340**, 119 (2000);
 K. K. Voo, H. Y. Chen and W. C. Wu, cond-mat/0005485.
- [12] R. G. Mints *et al.*, cond-mat/0101085;
 R. V. Kulkarni *et al.*, cond-mat/0008471;
 J. R. Kirtley *et al.*, Phys. Rev. **B51**, R12057 (1995);
 and references therein.
- [13] G. E. Volovik, JETP Lett. **58**, 469 (1993), JETP Lett. **65**, 491 (1997);
 N. B. Kopnin and G. E. Volovik, JETP Lett. **64**, 690 (1996);

- S. H. Simon and P. A. Lee, Phys. Rev. Lett. **78**, 1548 (1997), Phys. Rev. Lett. **78**, 5029 (1997);
N. B. Kopnin and G. E. Volovik, cond-mat/9703107;
D. A. Wright *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **82**, 1550 (1999);
A. P. Ramirez, Phys. Lett. **A211**, 59 (1996);
R. A. Fisher *et al.*, Physica **C252**, 237 (1995);
B. Revaz *et al.*, Czech. J. Phys. **46**, 1205 (1996); Phys. Rev. Lett. **80**, 3364 (1998);
C. Kübert and P. J. Hirschfeld, Sol. St. Commun. **105**, 459 (1998);
Yu. Barash *et al.*, JETP Lett. **65**, 638 (1997);
I. Vekhter *et al.*, cond-mat/9811315; cond-mat/0011091;
K. A. Moler *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **73**, 2744 (1994); cond-mat/9505129;
Phys. Rev. **B55**, 3954 (1997);
Y. Wang *et al.*, cond-mat/0009194;
M. Momono *et al.*, Physica **C233**, 395 (1994);
C. C. Tsuei and J. R. Kirley, Rev. Mod. Phys. **72**, 969 (2000);
and references therein.
- [14] R. B. Laughlin, Science **242**, 525 (1988).